UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,603	04/19/2005	Roy Lyon	620-340	3612
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/10/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LAVINDER, JACK W		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,603	LYON, ROY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack W. Lavinder	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 No</u>	ovember 2007 and 03 December	2007.				
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,33,34,37,38 and 40-46</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,33,34 and 37</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 40-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached Cines	71011011 01 101111 1 10 102.				
<u> </u>		(1)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

The prior office action, mailed on 2/13/2008, was inadvertently sent out as a final action. That action has been vacated in view of this new non-final office action. The time period to respond begins from the mail date of this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 38, 40-46 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perez in view of Derringer, 4554711 and Kato, 4636347.

Re claims 38, 40-42, Perez fails to disclose the apparatus for molding the assembly with the common spine. However, Derringer discloses that it is old and well known to use molds to form an assembly with a common spine similar to Perez's assembly. The cord in Derringer is not made from a separate cord material and therefore doesn't show a string or cord being placed in the mold to complete the final assembly. Kato teaches that it is old and well known to use a mold for forming a fastener with a cord (12) placed in the mold, molding plastic parts to and around the cord (figure 6) and then severing the excess string in the appropriate places to form the fastener (figure 8). It would have been obvious to make Perez's assembly using Derringer's and Kato's teachings (methods and apparatuses) in order to mass-produce the assembly in a quick and cost effective manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Re claims 43-46, Kato discloses the method steps of molding the plastic parts (11, 14) to the cord (12, 17) and then ejecting the parts from the mold and pulling the string to pull the fastener from between the molds while positioning the string for a further molding operation (col. 3, lines 53-end, col. 4, lines 1-16). The cord is then cut between the fasteners to separate the individual fasteners.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments were addressing the completed article and were not directed to the device or method of making the article. The rejection based on Perez in view of Derringer, 4554711 and Kato, 4636347, meet the limitations directed to the device and method for making the article.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder whose telephone number is 571-272-7119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,603 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

/Jack W Lavinder/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677
2/22/2008